UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Ţ	Jnited S	tates of America,	Case No. 315 - CR - 00019 - TIT
	* 15	Plaintiff,) v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
		Defendant(s).	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on $2 \cdot (0 \cdot 2025)$, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from $2 \cdot (0 \cdot 2025)$ to $2 \cdot 2025$ and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):			
-		ailure to grant a continuance would be lee 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ikely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
.	d o	efendants, the nature of the proser law, that it is unreasonable to expect a	e to [check applicable reasons] the number of ecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact dequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial y this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
_	F	ailure to grant a continuance would den aking into account the exercise of due d	y the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, iligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
-	С	ailure to grant a continuance would unrounsel's other scheduled case commitmose 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	easonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ents, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
-	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).		
· -			
1	IT IS SO	O ORDERED.	
I	DATED	2/6/2025	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
× 5	STIPUL	ATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney
		A HOLLEY TOU DETERMINE	A CONTROLLE CONTROL CONTROL A LUCCIANO